

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/660,529	BORGAT, LOUIS	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Darren W. Ark	3643	

**All Participants:**

(1) Darren W. Ark.

(2) Sebastien Clark.

**Status of Application:** Response to Non-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2006

**Time:** 2:00pm EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejections of the Non-Final Action mailed 10/27/2005*

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 6, 26

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior art of record*

**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**DARREN W. ARK**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In regard to claim 26, Examiner stated that Markley et al. 6,922,936 discloses a handgrip (1428 or 1464 in Fig. 19) as claimed; a sleeve (1421); a removeable reel seat member with a cavity (1445 with 1416) adapted to slide onto the sleeve (see Fig. 19), the reel seat member having reel fasteners (see 36 in Fig. 1); a reel (12) mounted to the reel seat (of 1416); and a locking member (1450, 1424) for locking the sleeve to the reel seat member by inducing less than 360 degree rotation of the reel seat relative to the sleeve (bayonet-type connection). Examiner proposed to amend claim 26 such that the reel be mounted over the cavity of the reel seat member as similarly amended by applicant in claim 1 to overcome Markley et al. Examiner also proposed minor amendments to overcome claim objections and 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph problems for claims 1 (a missing comma), 4, and 6. Applicant agreed to the proposed changes. Please see the Examiner's Amendment for details.